

Species IV is said to be represented by Figures 7-8. These figures are presented to illustrate fiducial marks on the printed sheet but there are no claims directed to fiducial marks.

Species V is said to be represented by Figures 9-13. These figures illustrate details of a laminator that are not claimed. A laminator *per se* is mentioned in Claim 2 and is shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Species VI is said to be represented by Figure 14. This Figure shows a paper support and there is no claim including this feature.

Species VII is said to be represented by Figures 15-16 and 20. These figures show details of a laminator cartridge that is not claimed. A laminator *per se* is mentioned in Claim 2 and is shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Species VIII, IX, and X are said to be represented by Figures 17, 18, and 19 respectively. These figures show alternative embodiments of ratchet teeth as may be used in a laminator cartridge. There is no claim directed to teeth features.

Species XI is said to be represented by Figures 21- 27. A “buffer *per se* is set out in Claim 4 but is also disclosed in Figures 1 and 2.

Species XII and Species XIII are said to be represented by Figures 28 and 29-38 respectively. These figures show a “service loop” but there is no claim that mentions such a loop.

Species XIV is said to be represented by Figure 39. This figure shows a sequence of steps for producing a printed photograph. As noted above, there are no method claims. The components recited in the sequence of steps are also shown in Figures 1 and 2.


Species XV is said to be represented by Figures 42-54. These figures show details of an embosser as may be used in the invention. Details of an embosser are not claimed but Claim 8 recites an embosser as a general structure. An embosser also is shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Species XVI is said to be represented by Figures 43-54. These figures show details of a cutting table having the ability of making orthogonal cuts. Claims 9-11 refer to the cutter with the subject matter of Claim 9 being shown in Figure 49 (waste receptacle). However, both a cutter and a two axis cutter as mentioned in Claims 1, 10, and 11 also are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Accordingly, as best understood by Applicants there appears to be no claim directed to the Species I, II, III, IV, VI, VIII, IX, X, XII, XIII and XIV listed by the Examiner.

In Applicants' view, the features of Claims 1-8 and 10-14 are all seen in Figures 1 and 2 wherein the feature of Claim 9 alone is seen in Figure 49. That said, if an election must be made, Applicants would elect the Specie as shown in Figures 1 and 2, which is believed to include Claims 1-8 and 10-14. However, if the Examiner has other views, Applicants respectfully request a clarification of the Examiner's position so that a proper specie election can be made, should one be required.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Roger Aceto, Registration No. 24,554

HARTER, SECREST & EMERY LLP  
1600 Bausch & Lomb Place  
Rochester, New York 14604

June 17, 2003